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PROCEEDINGS
MS. WARREN: Good morning. For all
those who are on the call, reminder that the public line, this is recorded. And also there is no questions or comments from the public on this.

And on this, $I$ will hand it over to Dr. Brown to start the meeting at 9:01.

DR. BROWN: Good morning. I call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, February 28, 2023. It is now 9:01.

This is the first day of a two-day meeting. Today's session is scheduled to run until about noon, at which point the CCAC will recess until tomorrow's session that is scheduled to begin promptly at 9 a.m.

I would like to remind the public that this is a listening-only hearing, and we ask that you please mute your microphones.

Before we begin, I want to introduce the members of the Committee. Please respond "present" when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein, representing the general public?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller,
recommended by the speaker of the House?
DR. FULLER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Sam Gill, representing the
general public?
MR. GILL: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Dean Kotlowski, specifically qualified in American history?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike Moran, recommended by the Senate Majority Leader?

MR. MORAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: John Saunders, recommended by the House Minority Leader?

MR. SAUNDERS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Robin Salmon, specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture?

MS. SALMON: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker, specifically qualified in numismatics?

MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Peter van Alfen, specifically qualified as a numismatic curator?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: And I am Dr. Lawrence

Brown, representing the general public and Chair of the CCAC.

I believe we have a quorum.
The agenda for today's session includes the following. Approval of the minutes and the letter to the Secretary from the January 24 , 2023, meeting.

Review and discussion of the candidate designs of the Willie O'Ree Congressional Gold Medal.

Review and discussion of the candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation dollar for the good State of Missouri.

And finally, review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Liberty and Britannia Gold medals and silver medal.

Before we begin our proceedings, I would like to ask the Mint liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if there are any members of the press who have remotely signed in for this meeting?

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren. And also a reminder, people, to say your name before you speak.

Brandon Hall, senior editor of social media for Whitman Publishing, LLC will be on the call. And Mike Unser, founder and editor of Coin News Media Group, LLC.

DR. BROWN: For the record, I would also like to confirm the following Mint staff are in attendance for this meeting today. Please also indicate "present" after I have called your name today.

April Stafford, chief, Office of Design Management?

MS. STAFFORD: Present.
DR. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, senior design specialist?

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Pam Borer, design manager?
MS. BORER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Russell Evans, design
manager?
MR. EVANS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Boneza Hanchock, design manager?

MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
DR. BROWN: Joseph Menna, chief engraver?

MR. MENNA: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael Costello, manager of design and engraving?

MR. COSTELLO: Present.

DR. BROWN: Jennifer Warren, director
of legislative and intergovernmental affairs and liaison to the CCAC?

MS. WARREN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, senior legal counsel and counsel to the CCAC?

MR. WEINMAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Gwen Mattleman, senior
legal counsel and project counsel for the Willie O'Ree Congressional gold medal?

MS. MATTLEMAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Elizabeth Young, legal
counsel and project counsel for the American
Innovation Dollar Coin Program?
MS. YOUNG: Present.
DR. BROWN: Brendan Tate, senior
government affairs specialist and Office of the legislative and intergovernmental affairs?

MR. TATE: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike White, office of
corporate communication?
MR. WHITE: Present.
DR. BROWN: Is there anyone who I have not called their name?

And finally --

MS. BAILEY: Ann Bailey. I'm the project manager for the Liberty/Britannia project.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
And finally, $I$ just want to note for the record that we'll be joined later on this call by the liaisons for Willie O'Ree. That includes Chandra O'Ree, the daughter of Mr. O'Ree; and Rob Wooley, the senior director of legislative affairs, Public Policy \& Partnership Development of the National Hockey League. Also, for the Missouri 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin, we will be joined by Tyler Hobbs, senior policy advisor of the Office of the Governor of Missouri.

I'd like to begin with the Mint, to find out if there are any issues that need to be addressed before we start.

Hearing none, seeing none, the first order of business for this committee is to review and approval the CCAC minutes and letter to the secretary from our public meeting on January 24, 2023.

Are there any comments on the documents?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein moves approval.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Second.

DR. BROWN: Approval by Art. Second by
Peter.
MS. WARREN: Remember -- I'm sorry. This is Jennifer Warren. Remember to speak up and also say your name before you start, and speak toward the camera. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Is there any discussion?
Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed, nay.
Any abstentions?
Are there any objections to the motion?
It is passed without any objections, and the letters and the minutes are approved.

Using the very limited prerogative of the Chair, $I$ will briefly share with all those in attendance the significance of this first in-person meeting of the CCAC in 2023. I want to personally and humbly thank all former and present CCAC members and the phenomenal US Mint leadership and staff, without whom we would not be here today.

Similar to the beginning of my first term as CCAC Chair, this second term also begins as this nation is celebrating Black History month. And
while serving as the first African American to Chair the CCAC is of significance to me and my family, this is not the reason why $I$ am taking these few moments at the present time.

More importantly, is the recognition that within a month, the CCAC has participated in the recognition of four, underscore four, under-appreciated chapters of Black history.

At the last public meeting of the CCAC, we reviewed the designs for the Harlem Hellfighters Congressional Gold medal, commemorating African American soldiers, recognized for their heroism during World War 1.

Today, as a part of the 2024 American Innovation Dollar from the good State of Missouri, otherwise called the "Show-Me" state, I don't know if that's really true. I mean, us from New York, we may say that, but. The CCAC will be reviewing reverse designs recognizing the inventions of George Washington Carver, an African American agricultural scientist.

Also today, we will be reviewing designs of Willie O'Ree Congressional Gold medal, celebrating his feats both on the ice as the first African Canadian to play in the National Hockey

League, and his contributions while off the ice.
Tomorrow, we will be reviewing designs recognizing some phenomenal women, one of whom is Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray, an African American civil rights activist, attorney, and Episcopal priest, and author.

These four events underscore an increasing appreciation that Black history is American history, a phrase $I$ saw repeatedly displayed in New York City's Kennedy Airport when $I$ was last coming from the Superbowl.

In summary, I am here today based upon the phenomenal support I've received from colleagues and many friends I have developed in this continuously fulfilling hobby while serving our nation by reviewing miniature canvases filled with unforgettable art, representing phenomenal chapters of American history. Last year, I ended my comments with a quote from the first woman commemorated on the 2022 American Women Quarters, Maya Angelo. I quote, and I'll repeat, "I've learned that people will forget what you say, people will forget what you did, but people will never forget how you made them feel."

This year, $I$ will end my comments with a quote from another famous African American, former

First Lady Michelle Obama. "Success is not about how much money you make; it's about the difference you make in the lives of people you are in touch with."

You have all played an important role in making a difference in my life and making me feel valued. I pray that $I$ can return the favor to you as well, over the course of this year. Thank you.

Thank you so much. I think my mom would be proud. She used to tell me that you need to speak up. I'm prone to be a very polite and wellmannered student.

The next item on our agenda is review of candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation \$1 coin for the good State of Missouri. April Stafford, Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin for the State of Missouri.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. It is Public Law 115-197, the American Innovation $\$ 1$ Coin Act, that requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue $\$ 1$ coins with the reverse design honoring innovation or innovators from each of the 50 states, the territories, and the District of Columbia. In accordance with the Act, the United States Mint worked
with the Governors of the states being honored in 2024 to develop coin design concepts that were subsequently approved by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Candidate designs for Missouri were initially presented to the CCAC and U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, or CFA, in September of 2022. The Mint, in consultation with historical experts from Missouri, has reworked the portfolio based on the important feedback the Federal advisory committees provided at that time. Both committees strongly urged the Mint to develop further designs that feature George Washington Carver himself, the scientist at work. The portfolio you will review today has expanded to include such designs.

So a little background on George Washington Carver. He was one of the most revered figures in early 20 th century American history. His work at the Tuskegee Institute in Alabama is considered instrumental in changing approaches to agriculture.

Born into slavery in Diamond Grove, Missouri, Carver received his early education in Missouri and Kansas. He later studied in Iowa, and in 1897, Booker T. Washington, founder of the Tuskegee Institute, convinced Carver to serve as the school's
director of agriculture. At Tuskegee, Carver developed his crop rotation method, which alternated nitrate-producing legumes, such as peanuts and peas, with cotton, which depletes soil of its nutrients. Carver's methods restored nitrogen to the soil, improved crop yields, and was more cost-effective than using commercial fertilizer.

Despite difficult growing conditions, cotton was firmly positioned as the primary cash crop in the South. Carver's interest in chemurgy, a branch of applied chemistry that involves finding industrial uses for agricultural raw materials, was driven by his desire to identify new uses for non-cotton Southern crops. As both an agricultural scientist and an inventor, George Washington Carver is famous for developing hundreds of different products using sweet potatoes, peanuts, and soybeans. And in 2000, Carver was a charter inductee in the USDA Hall of Heroes as the father of Chemurgy.

Required inscriptions are "United States of America" and "Missouri."

As noted, the Mint worked closely with
Tyler Hobbs from the Office of the Governor of Missouri, in development of this revised portfolio. We have Mr. Hobbs with us.

Mr. Hobbs, would you like to say a few words to the committee?

MR. HOBBS: Yes. Thank you. This is Tyler Hobbs with the Missouri Governor's Office.

I first would like to thank the committees for their feedback in this process. We looked over everything, and we do have our preference there. We like the showing George Washington Carver on the coin with his likeness. And specifically, that he was more than just peanuts. We're proud to have him as a Missourian and the son of our state. And we just appreciate all your guys' work and look forward to this coming up.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. Okay. We'll move right into the portfolio. We will show you design 10 first. This is the Governor's Office's preferred design, and it was the recommended design by the CFA. The CFA did ask that the inscription "Missouri" be made slightly less prominent, suggesting a treatment similar to that that can be seen in reverse 8. So I'll note that when we get there.

All right. Moving through the candidate designs. We have design 1 that features imagery commemorating the lively, fertile mind of

George Washington Carver. The design combines a profile of the scientist with a microscope, and peanut and sweet potato plants to represent the diligence in scientific inquiry he displayed throughout his remarkable life.

Design 3 features a beaker holding a germinating plant. The outer border is inspired by old produce crates of slatted wood and contains the additional -- sorry, is inscribed by old produce crates of slatted wood and contains the additional inscription "George Washington Carver."

Design 4 represents George Washington Carver's use of the Jesup Wagon to educate farmers about beneficial agricultural practices. Carver would travel the countryside, sharing an innovative series of free brochures that included information on crops, cultivation techniques, and recipes for nutritious meals. The inscription "George Washington Carver" appears above the wagon.

Design 5 centers "George Washington Carver" on a banner overlapping two fields of produce. The top half of the composition is filled with peanuts and peanut plants; the bottom, with soy beans, sweet potato vines, and sweet potato flowers. A test tube fostering a small plant symbolizes George Washington

Carver's work in science and agriculture.
Design 6 highlights George Washington Carver's promotion of crop rotation as a way of preserving soil and diversifying the types of crops grown in the rural Southern United States. Cotton blossoms above and peanuts below are within the circular arrows labeled with the words "crop rotation."

Design 7 features a design with incused and raised graphic peanuts, including the inscription "George Washington Carver," to suggest the idea of crop rotation. The three organic peanuts at the lower right represent the development of the peanut in new recipes and industrial production, a process attributed largely to George Washington Carver's innovation.

Designs 8 and 8A present George Washington Carver in profile, studying a peanut, with peanut, iris, and sweet potato plants combined and rooted in the border. 8 A includes a microscope, representing Carver's scientific achievements. The additional inscription "George Washington Carver" is incused on the border.

Design 9 depicts George Washington
Carver at work in his laboratory. A combination of
raised and incused inscriptions completes the design, including "George Washington Carver."

Design 10 features a portrait of George Washington Carver gently smiling while examining a sample of his work in his laboratory. The incused inscription is "George Washington Carver," as well as "Missouri." Again, this design is the governor's preferred design, as well as the recommended design by the CFA. Again, the CFA asked that the treatment of Missouri be reconsidered, suggesting a treatment similar to that you see in reverse 8. If we could go back to 8 to see that it's arced at the top. Thank you.

And moving on to the final candidate design. Design 11 depicts George Washington Carver at work pursuing his passion and exercising his prodigious gifts. This design portrays Carver in his lab, or perhaps the classroom teaching, and he's inspecting a peanut plant. The inscription "George Washington Carver" is presented below the composition.

That concludes the candidate designs.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about this program or the designs of the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin for the good State of

Missouri? Please.
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van
Alfen. I do have a question about the CFA's recommendation on reverse 10 of moving the inscription into the arc below. Joe, would that require lowering the design? Or how would you go about doing that?

MR. MENNA: I would hate to say anything contrary to the CFA, but -- this is Joe Menna. I would not want to contradict -- no. I would honor the CFA's request in a different way than they suggested. I feel, due to the profound -- due to the bulk of the United States of America, which I think is appropriate, we could instead of fully incusing Missouri to the field, incuse it halfway so it's deboss into the surface of the beaker. Still reads. Won't be as distracting.
You know, it -- there's a unique
situation. When we're looking at these graphic images, the black reads stronger than it would on a coin. But it would be polished. But if we don't deboss it all the way to the field, just enough that it reads but it doesn't read as polished, I think we'll answer their concerns without altering the artist's intent. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Would that require a
motion on our part to --
MR. WEINMAN: Yes. It would.
DR. VAN ALFEN: -- I'm sorry?
MR. WEINMAN: It would.
DR. VAN ALFEN: It would?
MR. WEINMAN: If that's the design you
would --
DR. VAN ALFEN: To emboss. All right. MR. MENNA: I mean, like, emboss.

Emboss, actually. It means raise, I think. Deboss means -- just we would incuse it slightly, but not to the point of the field. That would be my -- that's how $I$ would remedy that, if it was -- in order to preserve the artist's intent.

And as my boss, Mike, suggested, we could scale it down a little bit too so it reads -you know, you don't want it to read like a rocket silo, right? Like a missile silo or something. DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any other questions, technical or legal, from any other members of the committee?

Then if that's the case, this is
Lawrence Brown again, let us begin our consideration. I would like to remind our members, as much as
possible, if you can limit your comments to five minutes or less.

Additionally, if there are any members who have questions or comments about any other aspect of the program, I ask that you hold those until later on in the discussion. And I promise you that $I$ will come back to you so that you can pose those questions.

For the benefit of the court reporter, again, and those calling in, please, $I$ ask that you state your name when you begin speaking. So let us begin.

Dr. Harcourt Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm very pleased with these designs. They look absolutely fabulous. I'd like to congratulate all the stakeholders, the Governor's Office, the artist, everyone involved.

I will go along with the preference from the Governor's Office. If we can pull that up, please? You know, $I$ really like seeing him, again, as a scientist, you know, with his lab apparatus, the crops. I think it's a very dignified coin. I think it will be well received.

I would also like to say some good things about 1 as well. I also really, really like
that one. Again, it's showing everything that is important. Him as a scientist, and the crops that he worked on. So again, I'm very pleased with these designs. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Dr. Peter Van Alfen.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I am very pleased with the additions to the portfolio. I find the obverse 10, the recommendation of the CFA as well as the Governor's Office, to be my preferred choice as well. I think the profile portrait of Dr. Carver is really quick exceptional. I think that this works artistically and obviously represents the scientist very well.

I do have some concerns about the inscription "Missouri," which we've already discussed and we can talk about that some more once everybody has made their comments.

Apart from my preferred selection here, I also do think that there are some other really rather nice designs, such as 3 and 4. But again, since $I$ don't represent the scientist himself, I think that I'd just note that these are nice designs but would not be appropriate in this case. So thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

Let's turn now to Dr. Dean Kotlowski. DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. And also, thank you so much for your opening comments and putting African American History Month at the forefront of what we're doing. And it's so appropriate that the first portfolio that we're examining today and contemplating is of a very prominent African American.

I almost believe that this is a model of how we should proceed when we need to do some sort of redo of a portfolio, because the designs, as Dr. Fuller was saying, are really, really, really good and they're very, very strong.

I don't have any problem at all with number 10. And I actually don't have a problem with having Missouri on there. Okay, maybe if we made it a little bit less prominent, a little more elegant. But I think where it is, it's fine with me. I'm happy to listen to any modifications.

There are some other designs that I just want to point out. I liked number 8 and number 8A because it's a profile portrait, and the way he's looking at what is a peanut, he looks very contemplative and I like that element. I like that dimension of the portraiture.

And I also liked number 11, because yesterday $I$ happened to visit the Smithsonian Museum of American Art, and there they have this portrait by Betsy Graves Reyneau. I did not know very much about Betsy Graves Reyneau. But this really calls to mind that portrait, which is a -- which is a real treasure in that museum. And I just -- I think this is a very warm and very human and very humane portrait of George Washington Carver.

So those would be my favorites. I don't know where I'm going to land with this. I like number 10 well enough. I tend to be very differential to what, you know, the stakeholders want and I do think this is a good portrait. But I'm hopeful that the others will get at least some consideration and applause for the artist. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Robin Salmon.
MS. SALMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Robin Salmon. I also like 10 and think that that will make a very fine design for the coin. I don't have any problem with Missouri as it is, all though Joe Menna's suggestion about incusing halfway, I think is a good suggestion to solve some of that issue.

I would also like to talk a little bit about 05 and 06 . Even though they don't include portraits of George Washington Carver, I think that they're both beautifully rendered. They're well balanced. It's good artistic design, in my belief, and I give a shoutout to the artist or artists for that.

And 11 also is a beautiful portrait, and I like it because it shows the man as much as the scientist. And as the description said, he could be lecturing, he could be working in the lab, he could be working onsite somewhere. And the lettering is very nicely balanced, too.

So $I$ would still say that 10 is a good choice for this particular purpose, and I'm very pleased with how all of these changes came about. Thank you so much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments.

Let's turn now to Art Bernstein.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. I, too, support number 10. I did want to point out -- make some comments about 9 and 7. Design 9 I found to be very busy, but busy, I thought, in a positive way. It showed Mr. Carver engaged in serious
work. It looked like he was doing a lot of stuff, and I was impressed with that feel.

Design 7, again, it doesn't feature Mr. Carver himself, but those of us who remember the Mary Lannin test, a coin that you might pick up off the coffee table, this is one you would pick up off the coffee table. And I found it very attractive. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Mike Moran.
MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I'm going to concur with the State's choice of obverse 10. I would strongly recommend not moving Missouri into any of the field there. I think the space is fine just like it is. And I'm not really concerned, when you consider that's incused with boldness that appears on the sketch. I'll leave that up to the engraving department to deal with.

I will point out one thing in there that I think makes this design really work, and that is he's holding up the beaker in profile so that on the coin you can actually see that and that conveys action, motion, and thought. And that's what really sets this design out and makes it better than the others, in my opinion. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Sam Gill.
MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Well, George Washington Carver was not just important to Missouri and Alabama, but he was important to Virginia. And when $I$ was in the fourth grade, growing up in Bedford, Virginia, that's Virginia history year. And for most of you, you probably had your own state histories around that time. And George Washington Carver was a notable that we spent a lot of time learning about, because there's so much peanuts -there's so many peanuts grown in Virginia. It's a big, big crop. And he was the real deal in farming. And to this day, his principles are followed religiously, and $I$ can attest to that.

I liked three of these coins very much.
I liked number 1, I liked number 9, and I liked number 10. Number 9 looks like you could almost have a conversation with him. It's just a really, really beautiful coin and a beautiful design. The art in this whole series is just fabulous. Just to echo what everybody else has said.

But I netted out on number 10. I agree with the final choice. I think it's the right one. It captures everything that we would be looking for,
and it features Dr. Carver in a very, very dignified, interesting way. On Missouri, I'm happy to support Joe's adjustments. And with that, I would go with number 10, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I want to thank the Mint for persevering through the redesign process with this portfolio. Representation is very important, and it's crucial that we see George Washington Carver on the coin. So I'm very happy with this new portfolio. Coincidentally, my daughter Ava happens to be giving a presentation on George Washington Carver as we speak, in her first-grade class back in Georgia. And I look forward to, now that this portfolio is public, sharing it with Emma Fulbright's first grade class at Fulton Science Academy and seeing what the kids think of these designs.

I think that number 10 is a fine
choice. I appreciate Mr. Hobbs' comment that George Washington Carver was more than just peanuts. I think this is a good portrait of the man as a scientist in action, and I like the balance and style of the typography. And I know that the engravers will do a
great job tinkering with any typographical questions. So thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Turning to John Saunders.
MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
John Saunders here. I kind of like what's been said before, so I'm not going to repeat it. I like 9 very much, and I liked 10 as well. And $I$ hate to say it, it's not making any choice at all, but 1, 8, and 11 look pretty good too. So $I$ was pretty happy.

I like the design of 4 , but $I$ don't think anybody in the public would know what that wagon is for. So I think if we put a symbol on something, it should be something that most people should be able to recognize.

In terms of this commemorative, it brings me back to when $I$ was 10 or 11 years old and a new coin collector. The second U.S. commemorative coin $I$ ever had was a George Washington Carver half dollar. And $I$ think $I$ had to pay 75 cents for it, and it was one of my prized possessions at the time.

And I'd never heard of George
Washington Carver before, being a fairly ignorant 10-year-old or 11-year-old, and so I read a biography of it. And liking peanut butter among other things, I
was very impressed by the man. In fact, it's not that often that a coin inspires me to read a book, but this one did.

And the other thing I like about number 10 is the side portrait is kind of reminiscent of the George Washington Carver half dollar that was issued, I think starting in 1951 or thereabouts, '51 or 2.

So I would go with 10, but it's a very narrow choice above 9 for me.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
I must confess that this was also an enjoyable opportunity for me to revisit this design. I would also commend the Mint for its fantastic efforts in that regard.

And I would also like to suggest to you that while $I$ support the suggestion by the liaison, by the governor, as a scientist, remembering those days in basic science when you have to be in the laboratory with the microscope and the beakers, that $I$ have some feeling also towards design number 1, as well as design number 9. Those, in fact, remind me of my days on the route to becoming a physician. But I agree with my colleagues that the support of the -suggestion by the liaison does make sense.

Based on that, are there any additional
comments or motions? Well, before we do that, let's turn to Mike or Joe, to see if they have anything they'd like to share.

MR. COSTELLO: Hello, Mr. Chairman. This is Mike Costello. I just want to say what a great job Joe and his team have done here, making the prescribed revisions and to improve this portfolio. Big difference from what we had a few months ago. It's a good job.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're welcome.
MR. MENNA: If I may, this is Joe Menna. April, stop me if I'm out of my lane.

I want to thank Dr. Fuller for introducing a conversation that helped us really rethink how we think and approach representation in coins. Your comment came before a similar comment offered by the CCAC. In my time as chief engraver, you've really helped us grow and I thank you.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. I'm humbled by that, and I thank you as well.

DR. BROWN: Are there any additional comments from any of the members regarding this program?

MR. MENNA: Oh. I had the reverse order. Never mind.

DR. BROWN: We know where your heart
was. If there are not -- are there any -- okay. I just said that. Please give me a few seconds.

At this point then --
MR. WEINMAN: The score.
DR. BROWN: The score. Let's take about five minutes or less.

MR. WEINMAN: Let's make it 10 , just to be --

DR. BROWN: We're going to revise that to ten minutes. Ten minutes.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. Please send your score to Greg Weinman when you're done, and I'm going to put the meeting mic on hold.
(Off the record.)
DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results of the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: The scoring is out of a possible 30 points. In order, reverse number 1 received 11. Reverse number 3 received 3 points. Reverse number 4 received 2 points. Number 5 received 4 points. Number 6 received 5 points. Number 7 received 9 points. Number 8 received 7 points. Number $8 A$ received 9 points. Number 9 received 12
points. Number 10 received 29 , which is the highest scoring design, 29 out of a possible 30. And reverse number 11 received 12 points.

So once again, the high-scoring design
is number 10.
DR. BROWN: Are there any motions? I recognize Mr. Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: I move that we adopt number 10 that we voted for. Just make sure I got the right number. And that we trust Joe to make any modifications he feels appropriate to the word "Missouri."

DR. BROWN: Is there a second to that motion?

MR. GILL: I'll second that.
MS. SALMON: Robin Salmon.
DR. BROWN: So the second is from Sam Gill.

MS. SALMON: Second.
DR. BROWN: I'll pause in the future. Apologies.

MS. WARREN: Sorry. This is Jennifer. Who did the second, please, that you're going to acknowledge?

DR. BROWN: Sam Gill.

MS. WARREN: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: So are there any
discussions about the motion?
Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: All opposed, nay.
MS. SALMON: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay.
Any abstentions?
Hearing none, the motion passes. Are there any further motions?

If all the discussion has concluded, then I would like to say thank you. I'd like to take a moment to thank Mr. Tyler Hobbs for attending the meeting, and please pass our grateful thanks to the governor, for his role with respect to presenting this wonderful design.

The next item on the agenda is the review of candidate designs for the Liberty and Britannia gold coin and silver medal. I would like to acknowledge the roles of Dr. Peter Van Alfen and Robin Salmon, who along with myself, collaborated with the Office of Design Management and the U.S. Commission on Fine Arts on this program.

Ms. April Stafford, chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Liberty and Britannia gold coin and silver medal.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. Some background information for you. The 2024 Liberty and Britannia 24-karat gold coin and silver medal program marks the first original design collaboration between two of the largest Mints in the world. The program will feature a design jointly created by the chief engraver of the United States Mint, Joseph Menna, and the chief engraver of the British Royal Mint, Gordon Summers. The joint design features allegorical Liberty and Britannia, both iconic emblems of their respective nations.

On January 25, 2023, representatives of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee and the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts joined the Royal Mint Advisory Committee to review candidate options for the joint design. The results of that review will be shared at today's meeting for discussion and ratification of a recommendation.

Each Mint will produce coins and/or medals using the joint design and incorporating its
own required inscriptions. The joint design will be used by the Royal Mint as a reverse, with its obverse bearing the portrait of the King.

The United States will use the joint design as obverse. Joseph Menna also created the reverse candidate designs submitted here for your review, to complement the exploration of the connection between our two countries, while further reflecting on the American ideal of liberty.

The Secretary of the Treasury will consider the recommendations and comments from both the CCAC and the CFA and make the final selections for the United States coin and medal.

Beginning with the obverse designs. Inscriptions for the gold coin and silver medal obverse designs are "Liberty" and "2024." Designs will be produced in both their one-ounce gold coin and one-ounce silver medal versions. And the designs are presented in both the coin format and medal format for your consideration.

There were two candidate designs for obverses. We'll start with obverse 1. Obverse 1 invites both comparison and contrast by featuring profile portraits of allegorical Liberty and Britannia presented as complementary and balancing elements
suggestive of the faces on a playing card. Each figure holds their respective symbols: Britannia, her trident; and Liberty, her torch. This was the recommendation in the joint review of representatives by the CCAC and the CFA, in consultation with the Royal Mint Advisory Committee, and it was recently ratified as the obverse recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts in its meeting about a week and a half ago.

The second candidate design for the obverse is, you see here, obverse 2. It depicts Liberty and Britannia standing side by side, Britannia with her trident and Liberty with her torch. Each allegorical figure leans against a pedestal.

Moving onto the reverse candidate designs. Inscriptions for the gold coin are "United States of America," "E Pluribus Unum," "AU 24 K 1 oz., and the denomination, "\$100." "Liberty and Britannia" is an inscription that appears on most candidate designs. The corresponding silver medal designs include the inscription "United States of America" and optionally "Liberty and Britannia."" Designs are again presented in both their gold coin and silver medal versions for your consideration.

Reverse 1 references the United States'

2023 American Liberty 24-karat gold coin and silver medal by pairing the American bristlecone pine on the left, with an English yew tree on the right. They are separated by the Atlantic Ocean with a sun rising above it, heralding new possibilities for both nations. This is the design that the CFA recommended.

Reverse 2 presents the allegorical
Liberty from the obverse design in full figure, her flowing hair referencing past United States coins portraying Liberty. The design stands in contrast to the monarch featured on British coins, presenting a reverse that is distinctly American.

And finally, reverses 3 and $3 A$ also feature allegorical Liberty from the obverse design. Liberty occupies the center of the composition, while the drapery of her dress and flowing hair emulate the branches of a tree, moving past the border of the design. Design 3A includes the optional inscription "Liberty and Britannia."

That concludes the candidate designs.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Are there any technical or legal
questions from the Committee about this program or the designs?

Hearing none, then let us begin our
consideration. I would like to remind members to please, as much as possible, to keep your comments to five minutes. And additionally, if you have questions about the program, please hold those and I promise you that $I$ will give you an opportunity to raise those questions a little time shortly.

For the benefit of the court reporter, for those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name when you begin speaking. If there are any -- then let us begin.

Begin with Dr. Peter Van Alfen.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Doctor
Chairman. This is Peter Van Alfen. I certainly concur with our previous discussions on the subcommittee as well -- or the working group, as well as with the joint meetings with the CFA and our colleagues from the U.K. side of this project, in recommending obverse 1 as the obverse selection.

I think that this is a very dynamic, balanced, and frankly fun representation of Liberty and Britannia.

Moving on to the reverses, I have to say that $I$ am absolutely thrilled with reverse designs 1 and 2, particularly. I think that these are very beautiful, balanced designs. As somebody who is very
much engaged in various ways with art nouveau aspects of portraiture Vedic Art production and so forth, I really do like the art nouveau feel of reverse 2. But I do think that reverse 1 would work better overall in this project. I think that the balance of this, the representation of the Atlantic, the trees on both sides, is really quite exquisite. And so this would be my preference for the reverse. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Robin Salmon?

MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon.
Thank you, Dr. Brown. It's been a pleasure to work with this particular project. Not only its special nature, but the fact that these designs are absolutely spectacular.

My preference is obverse 1. I think that the unique display underscores the fact that this is something that's never been done before, and it shows in the design.

And then reverse 1 -- excuse me.
Reverse 2 also gets my vote because it represents both nations. I'm sorry. It's 1. Reverse 1. Yes.

Represents both nations with the native trees. And there is enough art nouveau design in there to satisfy me, although $I$ do have to say reverse 2 is just
beautiful. And I would go with that, except I don't feel that it shows both nations adequately -- or both countries.

So obverse 1 and reverse 1 are my two choices. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn to Mr. Dennis Tucker.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker.
I think obverse 1 is beautiful and unique. I am very happy to see this and the rest of the portfolio. Great designs. So kudos to the Mint on that.

For the reverse, I prefer reverse 1. And the thing that struck me about this in particular is with the gold coin, I looked at it as a writer and I see Liberty and Britannia E Pluribus Unum, saying one out of many. And on the other designs, you don't quite get that flow of the text. So it's just a small thing, but that's what -- a small thing on a beautiful design, I might add, but that struck me as being a nice touch. So that's why I prefer reverse 1.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Turn now to Dr. Dean Kotlowski.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am perfectly happy -first of all, $I$ want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Peter and Robin for participating in this program, and the artists for rendering this special relationship so effectively, artistically, numismatically.

Number 1 passes the tabletop test. I still like the tabletop test. I'm going to keep bringing it up. This is the coin that's on the table, a bunch of coins, and which one would you pick up, which one catches your attention. It's this one. And you want to pick it up, you want to look at, and then you want to look at it, and then you want to keep looking at it. So I think it's enormously effective and it's very inspirational.

With respect to number 2 , I just want to say a little bit about it. This is not bad either. The one thing about design number 2 of the obverse is having Liberty and Britannia with their arms, sort of each arm draped over those, kind of a monument here. It gives it an element of informality and casualness that $I$ just don't think is appropriate here. But there is something about this coin that is very interesting. But believe me, all of my support is going for number 1 .

And then, you know, with the obverse, it would be perfectly appropriate, since King Charles III will be featured on the other side of the British coin, to do something U.S. only. This is reverse. So the U.S. could do reverse 2 here. And I do appreciate Peter's bringing in of the art nouveaux. I was trying to go into my art history background and figure out what $I$ liked about this and what was so nice and swirly about it and captured my attention.

So the U.S. could go with this, but I still think I'd favor number 1. Robin, I think, said it well. There's some art nouveaux elements here. I think the two trees work. And I think this idea of the rising sun, you think of Benjamin Franklin with the chair during the Constitutional Convention. Is it a rising sun or is it a setting sun? There's something quintessentially American about that, although not exclusively American.

So those are my comments, Mr. Chairman.
Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Mr. Chairman? Sorry.
May I just share something? This is April Stafford.
DR. BROWN: Please.
MS. STAFFORD: A couple of members have
noted the beautiful work by the artist. And while of course the Mint always endeavors to create a broad portfolio from our wide group of artists with whom we work, I need to just underscore that the amazing designs you see in front of you have been developed by our chief engraver, solely. So that's a wonderful aspect of this program as well. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Art. MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein. I'm going to buck the trend a little bit on the obverse. I like design 2. For me, it recalls the architecture of the standing Liberty quarter. The monument itself in which the two figures are leaning. And I found it just classical and preferred that design over 1.

Design 1 is also beautiful, but $I$ found the helmet on Britannia to be distracting. It's so large and so prominent, that $I$ didn't really see Britannia. What $I$ saw was a piece of equipment. But both of the designs are beautiful.

And on the reverse, I support 01 for all the reasons previously stated. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
We'll go next to Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mike Moran.

I'm clearly out of step with the rest of the Committee here today. I'm not happy with obverse designs. I would call number 1 my upside-down design. You have to think about how it's going to appear on the two coins from the different countries. It will be the obverse of our coin. Therefore, Liberty would be on top and Britannia would be on the bottom and will be on coin turn. On the other hand, the British will be on metal turn, and Britannia will be forever on top of Liberty on that side.

It just -- it causes me problems, and I wish we could come up with a different scheme to adequately portray the two images on an equal basis as opposed to this.

I also object somewhat to the stars in the hair of Liberty, because that -- while it won't be recognized as something -- a copy of anything over here, it'd be recognized as unique, but it certainly will be recognized over in Europe as a copy of what was done in the painting of Empress Elisabeth of Austria. She was the reigning beauty of the second half of the 19th Century, and the iconic portrait of her is with stars in her hair. And that's how she's
recognized.
So I'm not in love with this, and I wish we had a different choice. Particularly when you're only looking at two images on this portfolio, I know there's problems dealing with two basic different design entities and coming up with unified designs, so I wish I had a better portfolio, in my opinion, to deal with.

As to the reverse, I'm happy with reverse 1. But I would suggest that the negative space between the two trees and above the rising sun is unduly cluttered. And there's a simple fix to that. Nobody gives a damn other than the bullion dealers about that 24 karat, 1 oz, or the $\$ 100$. That could very easily be buried and incused in the waves down below. Then you have "In God we trust" beautifully balanced between the British and the American trees. And it doesn't really ruin the negative space. I like the -- under Liberty and Britannia. It has meaning. So that would be my choice for the reverse.

But as I said, this is not a -- this is not a portfolio I'm in love with. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, sir. Let's turn it now to John Saunders. MR. SAUNDERS: I could have -- I like obverse 1, with a little exception. I thought Ms. Liberty wasn't looking nearly as serious as Ms. Britannia here and went kind of -- went back and looked at some of my 1794 large pennies and kind of looked at the original Liberty face that we put on coins. If there's a way of making Ms. Liberty a little bit more serious, I'd like to see it. But I'm coming into this late, so it may be too late for something like that.

> I'm with Mike. I'm not sure I'm in love with the stars in her hair. I'm not sure I hate them either. Kind of ambivalent about that. But, you know, overall it's a nice design.

I liked design 2 a little bit, too.
Besides remembering of the Liberty standing quarter, it also is very much like that Pallas Athena that appears on a lot of the classic Dutch coins with resting on a pedestal beside her and kind of a symbol of Liberty. But I'm okay with number 1 with, again, I'd like to see her a little bit more serious looking if it's possible.

In terms of reverse, I liked -- I liked all three of them, quite honestly. I liked number 1
best. I do have a suggestion. We have Liberty already on the front of the coin, and it's kind of busy with all the writing that's there. I would like to see Liberty and Britannia removed from the reverse so that we have less writing there. And again, the $\$ 100$ and the gold is important. If there's another way of doing it, I'd be open to it. But it's okay the way it is.

I would also like -- and I don't know if it's possible. This is kind of up to Joe. But if there's any way of putting even very, very subtly incuse the name of the trees on the trees so that we can see that it's an English yew tree. I wouldn't have recognized it as such if it wasn't there. It could be something that doesn't jump that you, you'd have to look for it to see it, but it would be there if you looked. So that would be the possibility.

I liked number 2. I'm not sure how all the flowing lines were kind of hair intermingled with gown and tree, perhaps, would look engraved as opposed to in a picture. I wish we could see something where that sort of treatment had been done on a coin. But as $I$ say, $I$ like the design, again with a comment of Liberty and Britannia. We have Liberty on the front already, so $I$ don't know that that's at all necessary.

I don't know that $I$ like the word "Britannia" going on one of our coins either. Nothing anti-English, but it's an American coin. And as $I$ say, we have Liberty on the front. So I would consider putting something else there for the design.

I like design 3, too. Basically, I think I like 2 better than 3. But they're all three nice.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, John. Let's turn now to Sam Gill. MR. GILL: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Well, I'm just going to say that the obverse designs are both beautiful, pure and simple. But I love number 1. I love the way it flows. I think it's unique. It's beautiful. And I really think that could be a coin of the year. Just really do. It's just going to be spectacular.

And I love reverse 01. I love the
trees. I love our trees that we did before on our gold coin. And I don't know if many of you have seen any kind of yew wood tables and things like that, but they are hard as a brick and they are just, just beautiful, beautiful pieces of wood. And to -- and so to me it just symbolizes just the endurance of the two countries, because these are enduring trees. I love
it.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Harcourt Fuller.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Harcourt Fuller. I'll make some comments, and Mr. Chairman, if you would permit me, I'd like to ask a question of John and Mike. Is that permissible?

DR. BROWN: Yes.
DR. FULLER: Thank you. Joe, I'd like to congratulate you on a wonderful portfolio. I really love the coins. And both the obverse and reverse, when I look at them, I see symmetry. Can we go to the obverse, please?

When I look at this coin, I'm thinking about, you know, flipping it upside down, you know, as Mike used the term. So I like the symmetry, and I can see people, you know, looking at the coin, you know, sort of both ways. I think it flows as well, and it looks like a fun coin.

And I'll ask my question -- I'll ask Mike after I talk about the reverse. Can we go to the reverse, please? Reverse 1, please?

Yes. Again, I like the symmetry. When
I look at it, I'm looking at, you know, the sunrays
right smack in the middle, and I see that these two enduring trees, and they speak of strength. And yes. So I think it's very beautiful. So I'm going to with obverse 1 and reverse 1.

My question for Mike -- I'm sorry, for John, if I may. And this is a question. Why does Liberty have to be serious?

MR. SAUNDERS: I don't know that she has to be. It's just kind of traditional. I've been looking at coins for Liberty -- matter of fact, I just bought a really nice French medal that was reminiscent of during the First Revolution. And I think Liberty is an important thing, so that's, I guess, why $I$ think it should be a little bit more serious.

DR. FULLER: Okay. And for Mike, if I may. Can we go back to obverse one, please? Yes. Okay. Great. Yes. Would you be satisfied, because I know if you flip it on the Britannia side, $I$ guess the number 2024 is reversed. And forgive me. I'm not trying to design by Committee. I'm just making a comment.

If we had 2024 on the other side so that when you flip it to the British side, so to speak, with the British side being up, that it wouldn't look upside-down, if you understand what I'm
saying. Would that make a difference or no?
MR. MORAN: Probably not. It's obvious
that -- this is Mike Moran. I'm the only one that doesn't particularly like this design on the Committee, so you know, I'm not going to belabor the point. To me, if $I$ were to do it, is I would rather have the eyes of Liberty looking toward Liberty rather than the date.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Yes. So I was going to say, it's our understanding, and correct me if I'm wrong, Joe, that the British Royal Mint are going to have their own inscriptions on their version of this coin. But if you can react to that suggestion?

MR. MENNA: If I may. So understand, because of the profundity, because of the large amount of inscriptions that the Royal Mint required, our collaborative answer to that was a border. Their border is thicker. There's a lot more words. We wanted, in our collaborative efforts, wanted to stress the portraits, to allow more freedom of artwork on our obverse version. Dr. Harcourt's suggestion of simply reversing Liberty and 2024, I have a job for you if
you want it, Doc, on my art staff. That's a great idea.

Because then Liberty is -- understand as well, we have a certain chief of the Office of Design Management who came up with this brilliant idea of actually -- see, originally -- I don't want to deep dive too much, so let's just say there's a reason why Liberty is on top of ours and Britannia will be on top of the British version. They're not identical. And by virtue of our natural coin flip, it will be anchored on the top, understandably, to people who are not -- just who are familiar with the traditional coin flip.

The silver medal, yes, I can understand that. But $I$ won't editorialize this to what you folks should advise or not advise, but this is the best balance we could find between servant -- it has to be a -- it's a joint venture, it's a collaboration, so how do we make the same design for two different countries with two different inscription requirements? We felt this was -- this is the best solution that we could come up with. But I'm not telling you it's the best possible solution in the world, if that makes sense. That was Joe Menna.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Joe. And as the
chair, I'd like to underscore the collaboration here. The collaboration was not only the engravers from the U.S. and from Britain. There's also the CFA, as well as the CCAC. The work groups that -- so that we did have a pretty extensive conversation with our colleagues at the CFA to understand their perspectives with respect to design. And what you have in front of you is actually a result of that collaboration.

Now, I would agree that there was always, as Joe made, room for improvement. At the same time, there's also an understanding that we also need to recognize that sometimes beauty can take place without having to actually feature some of the things that some of us would like to have featured.

So I would -- I too am going to endorse obverse 1 and reverse 1 , partly because of the fact of the conversation I've heard here today, but also partly because of the conversation we have had with our colleagues in the CFA. Because that was, in fact, robust and helped to convince me that this made the best sense for this collaboration.

Are there any other questions or comments with respect to this program before we begin to vote?

## John?

MR. SAUNDERS: I would like to ask Joe if the idea $I$ had is workable, even whether we would like it or not, of putting English yew here and American oak or -- there.

MR. MENNA: [Unintelligible response.]
DR. BROWN: You certainly may.
MR. MENNA: Mr. Saunders, honestly -this is Joe Menna. Mr. Saunders, with all due respect, I would not recommend that due to the trees being so close to the edge of the coin. To incuse them so close to the border would -- I would defer to my boss, Mike. You don't -- there would be a coinability risk and also a legibility risk due to the -- this looks like a very graphic design. Understand, if you've seen -- I think images have been released of the 2023 Liberty coin. Look at the ups and downs of how that tree, you know, even though it's going to be close to the edge, the frequency of the heights -- the frequency, meaning it literally, frequency of the relief -- amplitude, rather, the amplitude changes in the relief would prohibit incusing anything near the border there, unless we made it flat.

DR. BROWN: Peter?
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van

Alfen. Following up on both Mr. Saunders' comments as well as Mike Moran's comments about maybe shifting some of the inscription on the gold version from between the trees to some other portion. What you were just saying about incusing close to the border would apply to that as well then. Is that correct?

MR. MENNA: Yes. This is Joe Menna. None of the inscriptions could be -- the way this is going to be sculpted, looking at a graphic image, this is basically the same planchet as our Liberty coin. Even on a reverse, this is high relief. Any inscriptions -- I mean, if you look at the complexity, and $I$ don't mean that in a pretentious way, if you look at the activity of the graphic elements there, imagine it equally being active in relief and ask -you can't incuse anything.

The only place I thought about incusing something was $\$ 100$ in the sun. I thought that would be really cheesy. But that's the only place you can incuse something is in the sun. Everything else is so active, it won't be legible, it's not going to look polished, and then the draft angles going to it, it's going to just disappear. I think you have to form a balance between how's it going to look on the gold and be happier with the silver medal, if that makes sense.

DR. VAN ALFEN: And this is Peter Van
Alfen, just with a follow up. Is there any possibility of moving any of that to the edge?

MR. MENNA: No. This is Joseph Menna.
No. Not on this reverse. No.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay.
DR. BROWN: Any other additional comments? Joe?

MR. MENNA: You know, me -- this is Joe
Menna. I just want to say -- I don't want to get emotional, but this -- the gift of being able to have this job made it so I get to, by default, be the person that does this. And it's the greatest opportunity I've had in my life, and the greatest opportunity I've received at Mint. And I'm honored that you're all looking at it now. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes. Bravo.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Joe.
DR. BROWN: On that note, let's score.
MS. WARREN: Can we recess for 10
minutes?
DR. BROWN: Let's recess for 10
minutes.
(Recess.)
DR. BROWN: We are back. Turn now to

Greg. He's going to provide us the results of the score.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, this is out of a possible 30 points. Obverse number 1 received 23 out of 30 points, making it the high-scoring design. Obverse number 2 received 9 out of 30 .

When it comes to the reverses, reverse number 1 received 27 out of a possible 30 , making it the high-scoring design for the reverses. Reverse number 2 received 14 points. Reverse number 3 received 6 points. And reverse $3 A$ received 7 points. So once again, following the CFA, obverse number 1 and reverse number 1 were the high-scoring designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg.
Are there any motions?
MR. MORAN: I have a question --
DR. BROWN: Question.
MR. MORAN: -- Dr. Brown. And this is for Joe Menna.

DR. BROWN: This is a question from Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Yes. Mike Moran.
Joe, could we -- I get the idea that it
doesn't work on the edge for the inscriptions on the reverse. Is there a possibility of changing the
treatment of the weight and the denomination so that it doesn't stand out as boldly as the other inscriptions to finesse that a bit so that it doesn't appear such a forced stack as it does now?

MR. MENNA: Mike, you mean on reverse number 1?

MR. MORAN: Yes. MR. MENNA: No. MR. MORAN: No? MR. MENNA: With respect. And additionally, $I$ would like to add -- this is an editorial comment. I greatly appreciate and respect all your comments, and I'm grateful for your input on the designs. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Are there any motions? Hearing no further motions, then the score stands for themselves, and we are in recess. Until?

MS. WARREN: 11:10.
DR. BROWN: Until 11:10.
(Recess.)
DR. BROWN: Good morning, once again. Turn back in session, and we will now move to review the candidate designs for the Willie O'Ree Congressional Gold Medal.

April Stafford, chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Willie O'Ree Congressional Gold Medal.

Again, my name is Lawrence Brown. I have the honor and privilege to be the chair of the CCAC.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This is April Stafford.

Some background information on this program. Born on October 15, 1935, in New Brunswick, Canada, Willie O'Ree is a member of the Hockey Hall of Fame who played more than 20 seasons of professional hockey, including 45 games in the National Hockey League as the League's first Black player.

In the 1955-'56 season, O'Ree was a prolific junior scorer with the Kitchener Canucks of the Ontario Hockey Association. It was during this season that an on-ice accident caused a puck to shatter his right retina, leaving him blind in his right eye. Doctors told O'Ree that he would never play hockey again. However, he kept his blindness a secret and returned to skating within five weeks. No one would discover his vision disability until many years later.

The following season, O'Ree joined the Quebec Aces, a senior team affiliated with the NHL's Boston Bruins. O'Ree scored 22 times, helping the Aces win the Edinburgh Trophy, awarded to the winners of the series between the senior champions of the Western Hockey League and the Quebec Hockey League. The Boston Bruins summoned Willie O'Ree to the old Montreal Forum on January 18, 1958, to replace an injured player in that night's contest against the Canadiens, making him the first Black player to compete in the NHL. It was a trailblazing moment in the game's history. That stint lasted two games before O'Ree was returned to the Aces. However, on November 18, 1960, O'Ree was recalled to the Bruins. He ended up playing 43 games on left wing for Boston that season, tallying 4 goals and 10 assists.

O'Ree had endured racial taunts throughout his hockey career, and visiting NHL arenas was no different, where he was subjected to slurs and discrimination from fans and opposing players. Following that season, O'Ree was traded to the Montreal Canadiens. Though he never again played in the NHL, his playing career continued until 1979, where he won two scoring titles.

The magnitude and singularity of Willie O'Ree's hockey career as a Black player cannot be overstated. O'Ree played at a time when there were only six NHL franchises. The second Black player to join an NHL team, Mike Marson, did not join until the 1974-'75 season. O'Ree's NHL career, albeit brief, opened the door for other players of color to dream about an NHL career. To date, more than 100 Black players have worn an NHL uniform.

In 1998, the NHL hired O'Ree as the League's Diversity Ambassador. In this role, he has built and supported the Hockey is for Everyone grassroots youth hockey network, which is focused on giving underrepresented boys and girls the opportunity to play hockey.

The network includes more than 26 nonprofit youth hockey programs across 40 locations in North America, and it has served more than 130,000 children. The results have been substantial. O'Ree has made more than 500 visits to schools, community centers, and rinks, over nearly 2,500 travel days, and has been the subject of more than 13,000 books, articles, and shows. In 2018, O'Ree was inducted into the Hockey Hall of Fame.

In developing this portfolio, the Mint
worked with the designated congressional liaison, Rob Wooley, a senior director at the NHL, as well as family representative Chandra O'Ree, daughter of Honoree Willie O'Ree.

Mr. Wooley and Ms. O'Ree, would you like to say a few words to the Committee?

MR. WOOLEY: Sure. I'll yield to Chandra to start, and then I'm happy to follow her.

MS. O'REE: Thanks, Rob. Thank you for allowing me to even participate in this process. It has been extraordinary to really watch the creation or what might become the creation of a gold medal for my father, which is something $I$ don't think $I$ would have ever thought would happen.

To be able to be a part of this has been really, really special, not only for myself but being able to share this process with my dad has been really, really special as well. So $I$ just want to thank you all for allowing me to be here and be a part of it and to listen to our feedback, and we're really excited to see what turns out of the medal.

MR. WOOLEY: Good morning, everyone.
Rob Wooley with the National Hockey League. Thank you so much for inviting me to join your discussion this morning.

I've known Willie for the better part of 15 years. I staffed him for a number of those years, traveled all across North America with Willie O'Ree, as he has embarked upon his work with children in particular, and introducing them to a sport that otherwise may not have been available to them. And you know, I think, you know, certainly listening to -- every time I listen to Willie's bio and hear the number of achievements that he's accomplished over the course of his lifetime, I'm always reminded that perhaps his most profound impact has come in the years after he retired from hockey in 1979. And he's done so much for children across the United States, not only teaching them the game of hockey, but the important lessons that they will take with them throughout their entire lives.
And we're so thrilled to see, in
reviewing some of the design concepts, representation of that work, which is just incredibly meaningful to his legacy. And I think that we're certainly on the right track.

You know, his work at the grassroots level is really just a phenomenal story, you know, beyond his accomplishments with the Boston Bruins and all of the things that he had to do to persevere.

So we're just so incredibly honored to be part of this process. I'm so honored to have known Willie and to be friends with Willie and the O'Ree family, and really look forward to continuing to be part of this process which has been going on for a long time. But we're very excited and thrilled to be part of this. Thank you. Thank you for having us. MS. STAFFORD: Thank you both so much for being with us here today. And we'll go on to show the design preferences that our liaisons and the other federal advisory committee, the Commission of Fine Arts, identify.

So we have first obverse 4 as a preference of the NHL, as well as obverse -- sorry. Okay. Let me try this again. Obverse 4, which is the preference of the NHL, one of the two preferred obverses, if paired with reverse 5. Thank you. I appreciate that. And then the NHL also identified obverse 2, which also happens to be the family representative's preferred design. Obverse 2 is also the recommendation of the CFA.

For a preferred reverse of the family we have reverse 1 , which also happens to be the recommendation of the CFA, although there are some suggested changes to this composition to increase the
focus of this composition on his work with youth hockey, which I'll describe when we get to that design.

So moving through the entire portfolio of candidate designs, we'll start back at obverse 1. This design shows a portrait of a young Willie O'Ree next to raised and incused lines reminiscent of a hockey uniform. O'Ree's name and Boston Bruins number appear in a distinctive font, suggesting the dynamism that defined Willie O'Ree's playing style.

Obverse 2 depicts an older Willie O'Ree, wearing his trademark fedora hat. The inscription, "Forget about what you can't see and focus on what you can see," not only refers to his blindness in one eye, but also to his drive and focus in overcoming obstacles to become the first Black hockey player in NHL history.

Again, obverse 2 is one of two preferred preferences of our liaison. The O'Ree family representative also favors obverse 2. Obverse 2 also is the recommendation of the Commission of Fine Arts.

Obverse 2A depicts a younger Willie O'Ree and includes the inscription, "hockey is for everyone." Both designs features the Boston Bruins
logo in the background.
Moving onto obverse 3, features Willie O'Ree's words, "True strength comes from diversity and inclusion," from his Hall of Fame induction speech. Below is the inscription, "hockey is for everyone," in perspective to represent the floor of a hockey rink. The main portrait is of an older O'Ree, wearing a fedora hat, holding a hockey stick with a modern hockey glove.

Obverse 4 shows Willie O'Ree holding a hockey stick and wearing his Boston Bruins uniform. O'Ree's ubiquitous smile shines, and his number 22 rounds out the design. Again, obverse 4 is one of two obverse preferences of the liaison, in particular if paired with reverse 5 .

Moving onto the reverse designs.
Reverse 1 depicts a goal being scored by Wille O'Ree while playing for the Boston Bruins. Teammates rejoice behind him as he scores. The O'Ree family representative favors this design, if revised so that it substitutes young people in place of Bruins players in the background, as well as a change to the upper inscription to "hockey is for everyone," in order to emphasize Willie O'Ree's work to build access to hockey through the NHL's Hockey is for Everyone

Program.
Should this go to the Secretary as a recommendation and the Secretary approved the change, the design description would also be updated to reflect the revision. This design is also the recommendation by the CFA, who agreed with the suggested edits by the family.

Reverse 2 shows two hockey players, both young women, facing off on the ice. They form a textured border surrounding Willie O'Ree's famous quote "Forget about what you can't see and focus on what you can see."

Design 3, reverse 3, shows two young women vying for the puck. Willie O'Ree's number, 22 appears to the left, with "hockey is for everyone" below. The NHL initiative for which O'Ree serves as diversity ambassador is about expanding hockey and inclusivity in underrepresented American communities. The additional inscription "Act of Congress 2022" rounds out the design.

Reverse 4 presents Willie O'Ree in action on the ice. The inscription "Forget about what you can't see and focus on what you can see" reminds the viewer that overcoming obstacles depends on focus and determination. The additional inscription "Act of

Congress 2022" appears below the composition.
And finally, reverse 5 shows Willie O'Ree in his characteristic fedora hat. He holds a hockey stick and stands with two young hockey players. As O'Ree's playing career ended, he shifted to supporting grassroots hockey programs through the NHL's Hockey is for Everyone initiative. O'Ree's famous quote "Forget about what you can't see and focus on what you can see" speaks to his drive to overcome obstacles on and off the ice. The additional inscription "Act of Congress 2022" appears below the quote. Reverse 5 is the preference of the liaison, if paired with obverse 4.

And I would note, several of these designs have the inscription "Forget about what you can't see and focus on what you see." Should any of those go to the Secretary for consideration, the Mint, in consultation with the family, would recommend removing the comma before "and." And some of these images show hockey sticks. Any going forward, we would have to work with the artist to put tape on them to be accurate.

And that concludes the candidate
designs.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. This is

Lawrence Brown. And I want to say first and foremost to thank Ms. O'Ree and Mr. Wooley for allowing us the opportunity to be part of the retelling of the story of Mr. O'Ree on the ice, as well as off the ice. This is -- on the CCAC, do not get as many opportunities as we would like to continue to tell stories that have not been told as adequately, and allow the American public to really appreciate them.

First, are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or these designs for the Willie O'Ree Congressional Gold Medal before we begin our general discussion?

Hearing none, let us begin our general consideration. I would like to remind members of the CCAC to please, as much as possible, to keep comments to five minutes or less. Additionally, if any members have questions beyond matters that have been brought up thus far, please refrain and $I$ promise we will get back to you to allow you to pose those questions.

As a reminder, for the benefit of the court reporter, remember to please state your name before you begin speaking. Let us begin.

Dr. Dean Kotlowski?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dr. Dean Kotlowski. I actually
remembered this time to say my name. I sometimes forget to do that. And I sometimes forget to thank the wonderful artistic team that put in so much effort to the designs that we have the privilege of reviewing. And I do so now. I think this is a great portfolio. They all have been for this session. I want to especially welcome Ms. Chandra O'Ree and Mr. Rob Wooley, and offer congratulations to both of you on this tremendous honor.

And Mr. Chairman, just to follow up on what you were saying, maybe moving back and forth here as I speak, this is an important story about racial justice and inclusion in America. And I think to a lot of us, if not all of us, you can see the very clear parallels with the stories of Jackie Robinson and Larry Doby in baseball.

It's an overlooked story, Mr. Chairman, as you were indicating. Much like, I would say, or parallel to the ways in which the National Hockey League is overlooked. Because I'm not sure that the National Hockey League has the same kind of popularity and publicity and coverage in American culture that baseball, football, and basketball -- professional baseball, football, and basketball have.

So in my remarks, $I$ just want to say
that the NHL has been around for a long time. It's older than the NBA. It's older than the NFL. It is older than any professional league that is at least partially based in the United States than the American League or the National League. So baseball are the only ones that beat it.

It has a rich history. And as we learned today and as people will learn in the future, Willie O'Ree is a part of that rich history. And it has a strong tradition of internationalism, and in a way, Willie O'Ree fits into that story as well, because the first U.S.-based franchise in the National Hockey League was the Boston Bruins. Which I have to tell you are the archrival of my Buffalo Sabres.

Now, the story of this medal is in so many ways a story about many things, including the unexpected and learning a story that you didn't know before. I'm just going to take one or two minutes here and say something, again, unexpected about the Buffalo Sabres, and I'm going to come back to Willie O'Ree. I promise.

But, you know, Buffalo is a blue-collar
town, and that's its image. And the Buffalo Bills sort of feed into that image, if you look at the coverage of them. The Buffalo Sabres are a little
different. They have an aristocratic dimension to them. So most people, I think, don't know very much about the Buffalo Sabres. But the team's original owners were the Knox brothers, Seymour H. Knox III and Northrup Knox. They were Buffalo patricians. Their family name is on the art gallery in Buffalo, the Albright-Knox. And the team colors, according to urban legend, the blue and gold, were the colors of Northrup Knox's polo team.

Buffalo Sabres' theme song or music is Aram Khachaturian's Sabre Dance. And I would wonder, you know, how many sports teams have instrumental classical music as their music? As their team song, if you will.

So this is the unexpected. This is far away from the old Rodney Dangerfield joke. Have you heard this one? That last night $I$ went to the fistfights and a hockey game broke out. The NHL is more than that, and this story is more than that.

And when I first started watching the Buffalo Sabres and following them in earnest in the 1980s, early 1980s, there were three African American players. Three Black players in the National Hockey League. There was Grant Fuhr, who was a goaltender for the Edmonton Oilers. Great goaltender. Stanley

Cup winner. There was Ray Neufeld, who played for the Hartford Whalers, and closed his career with the Boston Bruins. And then there was Tony McKegney of the Buffalo Sabres. And $I$ was oh so proud that -- he was one of my favorite players.

So just to think that it all started with Willie O'Ree, it's really an inspiring story, and I'm so, so glad that it can be told and so that he and the National Hockey League are getting this kind of recognition.

Moving onto the designs, which is the main work of today, $I$ have to say that $I$ tended to favor a younger portrait for the obverse of Willie O'Ree. So I was very much drawn to number 4 , obverse 4, paired with reverse 5. I thought that was a good pairing. I can see the value, though, in having obverse 2 paired with a reverse.

If we -- again, jumping back and forth with the reverses, $I$ didn't like all of the prescriptive talk about changing reverse 1. It was getting hard for me to visualize. I kind of liked just having the Bruins there. I also liked the reverse design, which I think the NHL identified. I'm having trouble getting it. Oh, here. If we can move forward. I think it's reverse -- it's not that one.

It's this one that $I$ really like. Go back one. Reverse 4.

Again, back and forth, back and forth here today. If we go back to obverse 1, I want to say something about obverse 1. I think that this is a very artistically sophisticated design. Very moving. I love the lettering, the number, and the stick. The way that all comes together, it looks like a hockey card that $I$ would have collected about 40 or so years ago.

So I think that there are a lot of great designs here. I'm not really strongly in favor of one or the other. I'm the first one to go here. I'm just sort of setting the table in terms of what caught my eye. I'll be very happy to hear what the rest of my colleagues on the Committee think and vote accordingly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. DR. BROWN: Dean, thank you for your thoughtful comments. Let's turn now to Harcourt. DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Welcome, Chandra O'Ree, and Rob Wooley. You know, $I$ think it's always a pleasure to -- it's always a pleasure to review portfolios that really -- where you learn something.

Right? And I think that, you know, for so many of us there are sports -- so many people in my community, if I may speak frankly, where there are sports where we don't traditionally feel that we belong. Tennis was one of those sports, and you know, that has changed for the better, I think. So many young people, you know, people of color now want to play the sport, because when you get representation you get inclusion. You know? A rising tide lifts all boats, and I think it's great for America, it's great for everyone, everyone in the world.

And I'll say a little bit at the end of my comments about how hockey, for example, is spreading to other parts of the world.

So can we go to the obverses, please?
I also prefer a younger version of Willie O'Ree, because I'm always thinking about how do we inspire non-traditional groups of people to participate, and I think that with all due respect to the stakeholders, I believe that, you know, showing a younger person makes, you know, young folks believe that they themselves can do it as well.

This is just a classic portrait. I love the lettering. I just feel it's warm. You know, you feel like he's approachable. So I like that one.

And then if we can go to obverse 4, please. I really love obverse 4. I mean, when I see, you know, the Boston Bruins -- full disclosure, I lived in Boston for many years. My daughter was born in Boston. And so, you know, I -- and I remember, you know, watching, of course, Boston Bruins games on TV and things. So this just looks very inspirational and aspirational, and so I'll be giving that high marks. If we could go to the reverses, please. That's 1, right? Yes. So I really liked reverse 1. I liked just seeing them play. And I guess I'd agree with Dean. I like it as it is. I didn't fully understand the notion that we would replace the players in the background with children. I like seeing them play -- I like the image as is, if I may. Can we go to reverse 4, please? Yes. I really like that as well. The detail, showing another aspect of Willie as a player.

I want to close my comments by going into my email box, if $I$ will, and $I$ just want to read you a headine that $I$ just got in my email box. "Canadian businessman plans multipurpose ice rink for Jamaica." And so I think this will be an inspiration to so many people as, you know, the sport gets spread globally to non-traditional and unexpected places. I
don't know if the bobsledders in Jamaica will be happy because now, you know -- but again, I want to thank you for this privilege of reviewing this portfolio. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Harcourt, for your thoughtful comments.

Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker.
I think our liaisons have selected a very good combination of designs with obverse 1 and reverse 1. We have a strong portrait, we have an inspiring quote, and we have action. So those are all good things to see in a Congressional gold medal.

I want to point something out about the wording of "Act of Congress." This is something that we've talked about before. This is not required on a Congressional gold medal, but recently it's become traditional to include that wording. If you look at some older Congressional gold medals, they use wording such as "Award of Congress." And to me, that wording is celebratory rather than legislative. And this might not be a discussion for this particular medal, but something to think about in the future, if our artists might consider using different language such
as "Award of Congress."
But back to this particular medal portfolio, I agree with the liaison's preferences, and I think it will be a very nice medal.

MS. STAFFORD: This is April. Just in the event $I$ misspoke, obverse 1 was not an identified preference of the liaisons. It was --

MR. TUCKER: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: It was obverse 4 and obverse 2 were identified.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. I meant to say obverse 2 .

MS. STAFFORD: Oh, okay.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you for that correction. Yes. Okay. And of course with the reverse 1 having the change in the inscription, otherwise it would be repetitive. It would be duplicated on front and back. Those are my comments. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Robin.
MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. I
was particularly pleased to review these designs, and also to learn about Mr . O'Ree. The community where I live is made up of two counties. One is Georgetown

County; the other is Horry County. It's spelled H-O-R-R-Y. Old French Huguenot name. And it just made me wonder.

So the designs that the family and the CFA and the National Hockey League have listed as their preferred are perfectly fine. I think that obverse 02 and reverse 01 complement one another well, in terms of design. And of course changing the lettering, having the different slogan on reverse 1 makes perfect sense.

I can go either way with leaving the players as they are or making them children. The message is still important, that hockey is for everyone.

I do also want to say something about obverse 01 and obverse 04 . I think that this design is just lovely. And the straightforward portrait, the lettering, the hint of the uniform, the speed of the lettering, all of that goes together to make a really lovely design. And then in 4, obverse 4, that also seems to evoke the man. I, of course, don't know Mr. O'Ree, but from what I've read, this seems like a very good image to represent him. And I commend the two artists who designed those two obverse designs. They're really quite good.

I will concede to the family's wishes and go with obverse 2 and reverse 1. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. In contrary to the two professors who spoke first, I want to speak in favor of obverse 2 , which shows Mr. O'Ree as an older gentleman. As I listen to the story of Mr. O'Ree, we're honoring his entire lifetime. And I like the idea of showing him later in life on the obverse, and then earlier in life on the reverse.

So I lean towards obverse 2, and on the reverse I support reverse 1, and I would leave it as it's designed. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Turn to Mike.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Mike Moran.
As I think back over my time on the committee, when we'd get these portfolios for Congressional gold medals, sometimes they work, sometimes they don't work, depending upon the subject matter. Sometimes they need reworking. Sometimes it's just a difficult subject to put on a gold medal. We're fortunate here in that, first, we
have a very good portfolio in which to choose. But secondly, I'm grateful to the O'Ree family for sharing their perception, as well as to Mr. O'Ree himself. Their perception of him. And they very much show that with their selections here of number 2 on the obverse and number 1 on the reverse. This is what they want to see. That's what they value the most in terms of his career with the NHL, with his association with hockey over his lifetime, and we should give it to them. And I will.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Mike. Let's turn to Sam Gill. MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Well, I broke this down into two groups. Mr. O'Ree as he is today or he has been in later in life, and then as a young man. So I -- my first -- I do like him -- I echo Art's feelings on this. I do like the portrayal of him as an older man, because that's how a lot of people know this man. He's been working later in life as this ambassador to bring hockey to everyone. And I think that's a very, very fitting image for him.

However, having said that, the younger version for me is number 4. Very approachable. It's got the Bruins there. I love the smile. It looks
like, again, you could go talk to him.
For the reverse, I would pair the older gentleman, number 2, with number 3. And the reason is because it's got "hockey is for everyone" on number 3, and on the obverse it has the original inscription, "Forget about what you can see and focus on what you can do." So it's got both inscriptions. Still has some action there. And I believe these were girls, which is great. You can hardly tell with the masks on, but it just still brings the action and shows that he was a hockey player.

So that would be my first choice, 02 and 03. And then the second choice, if we went with a younger version, it would be 04 and $I$ went with R1.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
MR. GILL: Thank you, sir.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Peter.
MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Peter Van Alfen.
I grew up skating, playing a little bit of hockey, and over the course of my adulthood have been an occasional hockey fan. Probably not more than occasional, as I said, although in the time that I've lived in New York City $I$ have been caught up in the
excitement around the New York Rangers, who incidentally are playing the Sabres, I see, on March 11th coming up, Dean, so we'll have to talk about that a little bit later on.

But there is a lot of -- there's a lot that I've learned in the course of looking through this portfolio. And as both Dean and Harcourt have pointed out, I mean, the significance of this portfolio and honoring Willie O'Ree, and this is an aspect of the NHL, which $I$ frankly just wasn't aware of, and so this really has been -- I've been very grateful for the opportunity to learn about this. In terms of the portfolio itself, I'm not a huge fan of facing portraits. I think that very often on coins, particularly, or medals, facing portraits are -- there's a lot of risk in presenting a facing portrait just simply because of the way that the portrait can be rendered or the light can capture and so forth.

And so I think that either profile portraits or three-quarter profile portraits are typically much, much more successful.

For that reason, and also just because I think it is a really elegant and dignified portrait of the man, I also favor obverse 2, the older Willie

O'Ree. Again, I think that this is a very elegant portrait, and I also like the fact that the lines, as a part of the emblem there, also sort of radiate. There's sort of radiating lines coming from his portrait, which I think also think adds to it.

In terms of the reverse, $I$ also really quite like reverse 1 , just because it does embody a lot of the excitement and speed of hockey itself. And I think that this perspective, too, from, you know, the goal right there is really quite interesting.

I'm not entirely sure how the representation of the hockey players, aside from just removing the emblem on the jersey, how these hockey players could be made to denote younger players. But I, you know, certainly would support that if we go forward with this design, as well as the change in the inscription.

So again, my preferences here are obverse 2 and reverse 1. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to John Saunders.
MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John Saunders here.

I'd like to echo what's been said about the opportunity to educate ourselves and to be part of
telling this story. I think it's something that needs to be told, and it's good that we're getting onto it.

In terms of the designs themselves, when I first went through it, for obverse I liked number 1 best and number 4 second best. But then again when $I$ read a little further that we're going to try to pair an older obverse or reverse with a younger on the other side, $I$ liked design number 2. I just think as an older Willie O'Ree, it's a better design, a more dignified design than the 05 reverse that would be otherwise paired.

So I'm in favor of the 02 obverse design, though I'd almost like to go with 01 as a reverse design. I like that design a lot. I think it's -- you know, as I mentioned before, everybody -as Peter said, everybody's had trouble with obverse facing designs, the Greeks in particular. They really -- it was a big thing they overcame and finally made a coin that was an attractive obverse design coin. But our Mint seems to be able to do it. So I like 01, but I'm going to go with 02 as an obverse. In terms of the reverse, I liked all four of the action ones. I think I liked a little bit 04 better than 01, just because its' kind of exciting with the sparks coming out from the -- or the ice
coming out from the leg going into the floor. But I like 01 , too. So I think I'm probably going to give both of those designs three pointers and the 22 -- not 22. It's reverse 3 , but it has 22 , big letters on it. A close second. I also couldn't tell that they were women on the players here as opposed to men players. There's probably something about the uniform or something, if $I$ knew hockey better $I$ could tell what it was, but $I$ don't. But either way, I think all four designs are good.

I think this is an analysis that it's a pretty good portfolio. I mean, all the things would look good and they have been, you know, almost without bad choices. So I'm going with 02 paired with 1 or 4. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I, too, am going to go in a direction of our liaisons, which is obverse 2,02 , and reverse 01. And I'd like to also say that $I$ am so happy that I believe the Mint has the authority to make bronze replicas, that -- and this is one of those cases that I would like to really strongly encourage that this be a high priority.

I also need, in the full transparency,
I have an affiliation with the National Football
League, and it was through them that I was introduced
to the National Hockey League. Where it not for the National Football League, I would not have had my souvenir puck from the all-star game in 1996. So it means a lot to me about the fact that continued to demonstrate that this is something that is achievable for the lesser of us. We need to continue to reinforce that.

So Ms. O'Ree, and Mr. Wooley, I know it's been a long journey. We are really and forever indebted for you for having continued this journey.

At this point, I'm going to ask are there any additional comments from any of the members?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein just with a technical question.

If we end up going with obverse 2 and reverse 1, those have the same quote. Would we --

MS. STAFFORD: The reverse would have "hockey is for everyone."

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
MS. STAFFORD: Yes.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Any additional questions or comments? Joe?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna for the United States Mint. I would not want to say anything
contrary to the family's interest, but for reverse 1 , changing the players as they are, not lobbying for the design as it is, but the way the hockey sticks and the figures all go together, the design intent of the artist is really strongly expressed. But changing the figures in the background to children, the two on the left would be at three inches or almost illegible. And to change the player on the right to a child, I don't -- I just don't know if they would successfully read as children.

I'm not trying to change your mind or say it's a bad idea, but it might read better this way visually on a three-inch gold medal.

And to address what Peter said, I fully agree. Frontal portraits are tricky on coins. But on Congressional gold medals, if you go all the way back to Don Everhart's Dalai Lama obverse, which is fully frontal, because of the relief -- because of the additional relief height that we have available on Congressional gold medals, frontal portraits are much more doable. But I'm not lobbying for any design. This is just for the future reference of the Committee. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Any additional comments from any of the
members?
Hearing none, let's score. We'll take ten minutes. Recess for ten minutes.
(Recess.)
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. This is
Lawrence Brown. Going to turn now back to Greg Weinman to hear the score.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible 30 points, with the obverses first, obverse number 1 received 14. Obverse number 2 received 26, and that is the high-scoring design. Obverse 2A received 6 points. Obverse 3 received 4 points, and obverse 4 received 13 points. So once again, obverse number 2 with 26 points.

Moving to the reverses. Reverse number
1 received 29 out of 30 points, making it the high-scoring design. Reverse 2 received 4 points. Reverse 3 received 8 points. Reverse 4 received 13, and reverse 5 received 4 points.

So once again, the two high candidates were obverse number 2 and reverse number 1.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Greg.
Are there any motions? Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. I would make a motion that we recommend to the Secretary
obverse 2 paired with reverse 1 , with the inscription changed on reverse 1 to "hockey is for everyone." And "Act of Congress 2022" changed to "Award of Congress 2022."

DR. BROWN: Is there a second for this motion?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein seconds. MS. SALMON: Robin Salmon, second. DR. BROWN: We're going to have Robin be the second. I defer to Robin.

Any discussion on the motion?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Are we going to then leave the design as it is with the Boston Bruins in the background and not substituting children? I would support that.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any other questions on the motion?

MR. MORAN: Let me make one more comment, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. MORAN: I would suggest the way to finesse the children is to remove the logo off the shirt and let the rest of it stand.

DR. BROWN: Hold on a second. We have a motion on the floor. So is that a friendly
amendment that is accepted by the maker of the motion?
MR. TUCKER: I accept that amendment.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Very good.
MR. MENNA: Upon further -- this is Joe
Menna. And Ms. O'Ree, I didn't want to seem presumptuous. Upon discussion with my Mint colleagues, removing the logo as Mike suggested and perhaps changing the hair on the larger player on the left, non-gender-specific, but maybe long hair, maybe something to indicate that it could be a female player, keeping it the same size, I think hopefully that would answer your concerns.

But the two smaller figures on the left, again, if there's way to modify them we will.

DR. BROWN: Now, is this a discretion we want to give to the Mint? Or is the maker of the motion willing to accept it as a friendly amendment?

MR. TUCKER: I accept that as a friendly amendment.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. MORAN: He put the words in my mouth since Joe can't have a voice on this thing.

DR. BROWN: Understood.
MS. WARREN: Excuse me. This is
Jennifer Warren. Remember, when you're speaking
please identify yourself for the record. Thank you.
MR. MORAN: Mike Moran.
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker, accepting that friendly amendment to modify the designs as discussed.

MR. SAUNDERS: Just a comment on
Dennis' --
DR. BROWN: Identify yourself.
MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders here. Comment on Dennis' award of Congress. It sounds better to me to say "An Award of Congress," because it's -- you know, it means the same but it just seems to be better than -- this is a thing that is an object, so it's an award of Congress.

DR. BROWN: Okay. We have a question, but there are some clarifications. Jennifer, please. MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren. I just realized there are a number of awards. They don't happen by an act, necessarily, by Congress. So there is a distinction, and it's a significant one with Congress. So that's something to consider by switching it to an award. There's ones from different committees, there's the science one and such, and they're not necessarily by an act of Congress. This is an act of Congress, meaning it being given by the
whole Congress rather than just a Congressional award. So I'd just clarify that, but again, it's up to you.

DR. BROWN: Greg, if you could -MR. WEINMAN: And signed by the president.

MS. WARREN: Correct.
MR. WEINMAN: As opposed to a unilateral award.

DR. BROWN: So would that mean that you accept that friendly amendment to revise that motion that you have to --

MR. TUCKER: Yes. This is Dennis Tucker. I would accept that revision then and remove the portion of the motion regarding the words "Act of Congress."

DR. BROWN: Okay. John?
MR. SAUNDERS: I think Dennis brought up an --

DR. BROWN: Your name?
MR. SAUNDERS: -- interesting -- John Saunders again. Brought up an interesting point. I agree for this medal, because we've got a lot more Congressional medals coming in the future, but let's investigate maybe saying "awarded as an act of

Congress" so it gets both in there. But this is really for the future, not for this medal.

DR. BROWN: Okay. That's for the future. That's not going to affect this motion.

Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: I just want to be careful that we don't put too much text into these designs so that things are weighed down.

And the other thing is $I$ really am very strongly in favor of having this as "Act of Congress." I like that on these Congressional medals. And I am very, very, very concerned that if we make a change for this particular medal, it can send the wrong message.

DR. BROWN: So Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: I'll restate the motion then.

DR. BROWN: Please do.
MR. TUCKER: I would move that the Committee recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury, obverse 2 paired with reverse 1, with the inscription on the reverse changed to "hockey is for everyone." Modification of the design to include removal of the sports team logo to make it more generic, and possible modification of the hair, at the discretion of the

Mint's chief engraver and his team.
DR. BROWN: Do we have a second?
MR. MORAN: Second. Mike Moran.
DR. BROWN: Mike Moran. Second.
Any other comments with respect to the motion on the table?

MS. O'REE: Am I allowed to ask a question?

DR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am. Certainly. MS. O'REE: Thank you. Sorry. I wasn't sure if $I$ was able to speak or not. I like the changes that you guys have proposed to the reverse 1. In removing the logo from the players behind my father, would the logo on him stay? The Bruins logo on his jersey.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes. That would be the intention because it would be juxtaposing Willie O'Ree himself with the youth that he mentors in the background.

MS. O'REE: And that was exactly our intent in really liking that reverse design is -- and wanting to make those changes, is that it encompasses the work that he has done after, and really giving opportunity to younger kids, which is why we proposed some of those changes. So totally agree. Thank you
guys so much.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
MR. MENNA: Thank your father for what he did.

MS. O'REE: I will let him know.
DR. BROWN: Any other final comments or questions regarding the motion?

Hearing none, all those in favor,
"aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, "nay." Any
abstentions?
It sounds like we have a unanimous agreement. So let the record show that we have a unanimous agreement on the motion by Dennis, and the second by Mike Moran. Okay. Very good.

Are there any further motions?
Since it seems to me that all
discussion has concluded, then $I$ would like to take this moment to thank Ms. O'Ree and Mr. Wooley, because we really appreciate your fortitude, your industry, to bring into use another opportunity to hear the retelling of the story of Mr . O'Ree. So we thank you for attending today.

As this is the last order of business
for today's session, I would like to thank the CCAC members, the Mint staff, our liaisons, and the participating public for their attendance today. The Committee will now stand in recess until 9:00 am tomorrow morning, when we will reconvene and review the candidate designs for the 2024 American Women Quarters.

MS. WARREN: And this is Jennifer Warren. It's 11:59 a.m.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 11:59.)
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